Case 4:25-cv-05053-SAB ECF No. 10 filed 06/06/25 PageID.73 Page 1 of 3 FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON Jun 06. 2025 SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 9 RAI A. GOULSBY, No. 4:25-cv-05053-SAB 11 Plaintiff, 12 ORDER OF DISMISSAL v. 13 WITHOUT PREJUDICE 14 EAN HOLDINGS, LLC; and HEATHER 15 ROPER, 16 Defendants.

Plaintiff Rai A. Goulsby, an individual currently incarcerated at the 19 Washington State Penitentiary, filed a pro se Complaint on April 30, 2025. ECF No. 1. Plaintiff asserts breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith, and reckless infliction of emotional distress claims against Defendants stemming from a rental car agreement that he entered in 2016, his arrest in 2018, and trial in 2019. ECF No. 1 at 1-10. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages, and asks for a jury trial. *Id.* at 9–10. By separate Order the Court has granted Plaintiff leave to proceed in form pauperis. Defendants have not been 26 served.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE -- 1

17

18

27

28

DISCUSSION

2

8

11

15

17

Federal courts have limited subject matter jurisdiction. *Kokkonen v.* Guardian Life Ins. Co. of Am., 511 U.S. 375, 377 (1994). A federal court presumes a civil action lies outside its limited jurisdiction and the burden to prove otherwise rests on the party asserting that jurisdiction exists. *Id.* Federal courts have two 6 types of subject matter jurisdiction: (1) federal question and (2) diversity. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332.

Plaintiff asserts that this Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action based on diversity, "since EAN Holdings, LLC is a Missouri citizen, Plaintiff is a 10 Washington citizen, and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, 28 USC sec 1332(a)." ECF No. 1 at 1. Courts are obligated to consider *sua sponte* issues 12 regarding subject-matter jurisdiction. See Gonzalez v. Thaler, 565 U.S. 134, 141 13 (2012). Therefore, the court must determine whether it has diversity jurisdiction over Plaintiff's claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a).

United States District Courts have original subject-matter jurisdiction over cases between citizens of different states and in which the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a). A plaintiff 18 invoking a federal court's diversity jurisdiction has the burden of establishing that § 1332(a)'s diversity of citizenship and amount-in-controversy requirements have been satisfied. See Hertz Corp. v. Friend, 559 U.S. 77, 96-97 (2010). For a case to qualify for federal jurisdiction under § 1332, there must be complete diversity of citizenship between the opposing parties—in other words, all of the plainitffs must be citizens of different states than all of the defendants. Exxon Mobil Corp. v. 24 Allapattah Servs., Inc., 545 U.S. 546, 553 (2005) ("[Section] 1332 ... requir[es] complete diversity: In a case with multiple plaintiffs and multiple defendants, the 26 presence in the action of a single plaintiff from the same [s]tate as a single defendant deprives the district court of original diversity jurisdiction over the entire 28 action."); Kuntz v. Lamar Corp., 385 F.3d 1177, 1181 (9th Cir. 2004).

Here, Plaintiff states that he is a resident of Spokane, Washington, and Defendant Roper, at all times mentioned in the Complaint, was a store manager in Spokane Valley, Washington. ECF No. 1 at 2. Thus, Plaintiff's action lacks complete diversity of citizenship, and this Court does not have subject matter jurisdiction to proceed. No other basis for subject matter jurisdiction exists for this case to proceed in federal court.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. Plaintiff's Complaint, ECF No. 1, is DISMISSED without prejudice for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to enter this order, enter judgment, provide copies to Plaintiff, and CLOSE the file.

DATED this 6th day of June 2025.



Chief United States District Judge

24

25

26 27

28